Interview Sümmary	Application No. 09/423,461	Applicant(s) Hidekazu Koji	ma et al.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shawn A	n 2613	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):		
(1) Shawn An			
(2) Yong Choi (43,324)	(4)		
Date of Interview Dec 30, 2003			
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicar	nt 2) X applicant's re	epresentative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)	e) 🖾 No. If yes, b	rief description:	
Claim(s) discussed: 1-6			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Takahashi (5,522,789)			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)□ was reach	ed. g)🏿 was not re	ached. h)□ N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the gene any other comments:	ral nature of what was	s agreed to if an agreement	was reached, or
We have discussed the Takahashi's reference with rega	rd to the claim limitation	ons.	
At this time, no definitive agreement has been reached.			

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) 🗵 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is

an Attachment to a signed Office action.